Case 2:23-cv-01571-DJC-JDP Document 59 Filed 06/25/25 Page 2 of 2 the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis in large part. However, while the precise phrasing of the relief in the First

Amended Complaint (ECF No. 43) at page 24 under the heading "6. Assurances and Preventative Measures" is inartful, the substance of the requests under them could theoretically form the basis of injunctive relief, and the Court will deny the motion to strike as to those requests for relief.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed May 20, 2025 (ECF No.
 are adopted in part;
 - 2. Defendant's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 45) is GRANTED in part as follows:
- a. all claims other than the retaliation claim set forth in charge 555-2020-01235 are DISMISSED without leave to amend as untimely; and
 - b. the motion is denied in all other respects.
 - 3. Defendant's motion to strike (ECF No. 46) is GRANTED in part as follows:
- a. The "addendum" portion of the amended complaint (ECF No. 43) is stricken, as are the portions of the "statement of demands" that seek the termination of other employees and enforcement of state court orders; and
 - b. The motion is denied in all other respects.
- 4. This matter is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge for all further pretrial proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **June 24, 2025**

Hon. Daniel Galabretta

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE